## **REMARKS**

added. Claims 34, 36-37 and 39-44 are pending in the application.

Claim 38 stands objected to due to informalities. The Examiner indicates that the recited aspect ratio is not disclosed in the specification. Without admission as to the propriety of the Examiner's objection claim 38 is cancelled.

Claims 33-41 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims issued in U.S. Patent No. 6,635,917. Submitted herewith is applicant's terminal disclaimer obviating such rejection.

Applicant acknowledges the Examiner's indicated allowability of the subject matter of claims 35, 39 and 41.

Claims 34, 36, 37 and 40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Tseng, U.S. Patent No. 5,705,438. Without admission as to the propriety of the Examiner's rejection, claim 34 is amended to incorporate the subject matter of claim 35. Claim 35 is appropriately cancelled. Claim 34 is allowable over the art of record for at least the reason that it recites subject matter indicated by the Examiner to be allowable.

Dependent claims 36, 37 and 40 are allowable over Tseng for at least the reason that they depend from allowable base claim 34.

Claims 42-44 do not add "new matter" to the application since each is fully supported by the specification as originally filed. Claims 42-44 are supported at, for example, Fig. 14 and the text at page 13, line 14 through page 14, line 13.

Appl. No. 10/734,438

For the reasons discussed above, claims 34, 36-37 and 39-41 are allowable and claims 42-44 are believed allowable. Accordingly, applicant requests formal allowance of pending claims 34, 36-37 and 39-44 in the Examiner's next action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 22, 2005

Bv:

Jennifer J. Taylør, Ph.

Reg. No. 48,711